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Secondary analysis of data

• Dissertation study: *Obesity on Heart Rate Variability, Hemoglobin A₁c, and Oxygenation*

• This presentation reports a secondary analysis of data relating to the effect of the mean 20 week HbF on the autonomic nervous system, oxygenation, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and hemoglobin A₁c during pregnancy in women with varied body mass index (BMI) (obese BMI > 30 kg/m², and not-obese with BMI < 30 kg/m²) with excess or recommended gestational weight gain.
Pregnancy alters the autonomic nervous system.

**Parasympathetic**
- Brakes arousal
  - < heart rate
  - < vasoconstriction
  - > oxygenation

**Sympathetic**
- > Stress response
- > BP, Heart Rate
- > vaso-constriction
  - < oxygenation

> HbF to meet physiologic changes of pregnancy
Reasoning and Purpose

Investigating the impact of HbF on the vagal response, oxygenation SBP and HbA$_{1c}$ levels may help to identify possible physiologic pathways through which altered adaptation influence pregnancy outcomes.
Hemoglobin F in the Literature

Fetal origin
- CVS testing
  - (Al-Mufti et al., 2001)
- Aneuploid fetuses
  - (Parano et al., 2001)
- Fetal-maternal hemorrhage
  - (Kush et al., 2005)
- Intrauterine growth restriction
  - (Ghosh et al., 2003)

Maternal origin
- > nausea and vomiting
  - (Peters et al., 1998)
- Gestational hypertension
  - (Troeger et al., 1999)
- Diabetes mellitus
  - (Al-Mufti et al., 2004)
- Iron deficiency anemia
  - (Allen, 2001)
- Altered autonomic response
  - (Yukusoglu et al., 2007)
Study Overview and the Literature

- Is there an increase in maternal HbF in women free of preexisting states or conditions such as anemia, hypertension or diabetes?
- Ibrahim, Qari, Sait, & Abuela, 2009

- What is the association of HbF levels with pregnancy outcomes and physiology?
**Measurement of HRV**

- *Heart rate* was measured by the number of R waves per minute recorded on the electrocardiogram recorder by placing three non-invasive leads on the chest and abdomen.

- *HP* by times series analyses of the HP & RSA using a vagal tone monitor (Delta Biometrics, Bethesda, MD), and MXedit software (Porges, 2007).
Oxygenation: \( \text{SpO}_2 \)

- Saturation of peripheral oxygen
- \( \text{(SpO}_2 \) is an estimation of the oxygen saturation level measured with a Nellcor (NBP- 290, Pleasanton, Ca) pulse oximeter device. It can be calculated with the pulse oximetry formula:

\[
\text{SpO}_2 = \frac{\text{HbO}_2}{\text{HbO}_2 + \text{Hb}}
\]

- Probe was applied to either the second or the third finger of the dominant hand
Oxygenation: $HbO_2$ & $HbF$

- 0.5 mL venous blood processed by hemoximeter OSM3
Hemoglobin $A_{1C}$

- Is formed when glucose instead of O2 attaches itself to the hemoglobin.
- Index of the average blood glucose level over the previous 60 – 90 days.
- Expected adult range = 2.2 – 4.8%.
- Total glycated Hgb converted to $HbA1c$ @ UT SON lab using High performance liquid chromatography.
- (Ou & Rognerud, 2001- Saint Lukes laboratory)
Methods

• The main study included a repeat measures observational design
  • 3 Times during gestation @ 20, 28 & 36 Weeks

• Setting: UT Obstetrics Clinic, Houston, Texas

• Ethical approval was given by the Institutional Review Board prior to participant accrual
Sample

- Sample: 41 pregnant women
  - 21 Obese & 20 Non – Obese
- Factor to Calculate Power: SpO2
  - Oxygen Level Determined by Pulse Oximeter
- \( N = 17 \) For Effect Size of 1
  - to detect a difference with alpha at .05 and a power of 80%
- 20% Risk of Attrition for a Longitudinal Design N Set at 20
# Maternal Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level 1 HbF</th>
<th></th>
<th>Level 2 HbF</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obese (O) (n = 10)</td>
<td>Non-Obese (NO) (n = 11)</td>
<td>Obese (O) (n = 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>24.49 ± 4.67</td>
<td>28.63 ± 6.30*</td>
<td>25.68 ± 5.12</td>
<td>23.34 ± 4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravida</td>
<td>3.01 ± 3.20</td>
<td>3.64 ± 1.69*</td>
<td>2.36 ± 2.36</td>
<td>2.11 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (Inches)</td>
<td>64.32 ±3.81</td>
<td>63.36 ±1.78</td>
<td>64.40 ± 3.13</td>
<td>66.33 ± 3.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/M$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20wks Gestation</td>
<td>39.76 ± 5.02*</td>
<td>25.37 ± 2.77</td>
<td>38.00 ± 5.62</td>
<td>25.07 ± 2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36wks Gestation</td>
<td>41.86 ± 5.80</td>
<td>28.29 ± 3.08</td>
<td>40.96 ± 5.46</td>
<td>28.72 ± 3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lives with FOB</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td>5 (46)</td>
<td>4 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>1 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 1 HbF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Level 2 HbF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese (O)</td>
<td>Non-Obese (NO)</td>
<td>Obese (O)</td>
<td>Non-Obese (NO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 10)</td>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>5 (46)</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>3 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>4 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS graduate or GED</td>
<td>9 (90)</td>
<td>10 (91)</td>
<td>8 (72)</td>
<td>8 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – 2 years of college</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>5 (46)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>5 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time homemaker</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>7 (64)</td>
<td>9 (82)</td>
<td>4 (44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specific Objectives 1

• to explore the effect of the mean 20 week HbF on six physiologic variables: heart period (HP), respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), oxy-hemoglobin (HbO₂), peripheral oxygenation (SpO₂), hemoglobin A₁c (HbA₁c), and systolic blood pressure (SBP) while controlling for obesity and gestational weight gain.
Change over time

- Marginal Means Heart period
- Marginal Means SBP
- Marginal Means RSA
- Marginal Means HbA1c
Mean differences between groups, and changes over time for HbF Levels with HbO2

- HbO2 was significantly higher for Level 1 women @ 36 weeks: $P = .035$
- HbO2 significantly decreased between 20 and 28 weeks for the Level 2 women
  $F = 7.317$ & $P = 0.01$
Mean differences between groups, and changes over time for HbF groups with SpO₂

- HbO₂ was significantly higher for Level 1 women @ 36 week
- \( F : 4.922 \text{ & } P = .032 \)
- Change over time was not significant
Specific Objectives 2

- to evaluate the differences in HbF at 20, 28 and 36 weeks and changes over time for women with mean HbF at 20 weeks above and below the mean of 62.9%.
Mean differences between groups on HbF, and changes over time

- HbF was significantly lower for Level 1 women @ 20 week
- F : 15.816 & P = .000
- between 20 and 28 wks HbF significantly < for Level 2, but > for the Level 1
  F = 14.383 & P = .001
Specific objectives 3

- to determine if an association exists between the level of the mean HbF at 20 weeks and the frequency of pregnancy complications and birth events in low risk pregnant women with varied body mass index (obese and not-obese).
**Odds ratios with perinatal events and BMI with HbF Levels 1 and 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Obese Level 1</th>
<th>Obese Level 2</th>
<th>Non-obese Level 1</th>
<th>Non-obese Level 2</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.428</td>
<td>.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS or Assist</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post birth bleeding</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>.614</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

• Investigating the impact of HbF on the autonomic nervous system, oxygenation and blood glucose levels may help to identify possible physiologic pathways through which altered adaptation influence pregnancy outcomes.

•
Thank you very much for your attention

• Questions?
Inclusion criteria

• English or Spanish speaking women,
• age 19 years or older
• @ 20 – 22 weeks gestation
• have a pre-pregnancy weight documented or recalled
be willing to commit to three observations at 20, 28 and 36 ± 2 weeks of gestation
• have transportation and the ability to arrive at the prenatal clinic.
Limitations

- HbF was analyzed by hemoximetre model OSM3 (Radiometer Corp, Cleveland, OH) = rough estimate
- relatively short time frame in which data were collected, 15 to 30 minutes
- Short resting period prior to assessment - familiar with equipment & setting
- Time of day body rhythms affected 0600 - 1200


