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 hız Learner Objectives
• The learner will be able to describe the individual and environmental factors influencing health promoting behaviors of children from low-income families.
• The learner will be able to understand the importance of multi-level approach for promoting health of children from vulnerable social groups.
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Need for the study

**Overarching Goal**

*‘Healthy People 2020’ in USA*
- Achieve health equity, eliminate disparities, and improve the health of all groups
- Create social and physical environments that promote good health for all

*‘Healthy Plan 2020’ in Korea*
- Increase healthy life years & Achieve equity in health

*‘Poverty’ is a primary factor of ‘Child Health Inequality’*

*(Hernandez, Montana & Clarke, 2010)*
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Need for the study

Poverty

Vulnerability to poverty and ill health

Disability & Mortality

Getting More Poor & Powerless

Poverty

Vulnerability to poverty and ill health
INTRODUCTION

Need for the study

Health Promoting Behaviors (HPB) in Childhood

(Rauch & Lanphear, 2012; Riesch et al., 2013)
The PURPOSE of this study was:

- To document the level of HPB among children from low income families
- To investigate the intrapersonal, interpersonal, and institutional factors influencing HPB among them
Ecological Models of Health Behavior

(McLeroy, Bibeau, Steckler, & Glanz, 1988)
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

INSTITUTIONAL
Community Child Center

INTERPERSONAL
Family, Peers

INTRAPERSONAL
Children

HPB
Outcome

CCC* Characteristics
Healthy Environment of CCC*
Teachers Workload

Family Characteristics
Caregiver Characteristics
Parenting Behavior specific to Healthy Lifestyle
Peer Relationship

Children Characteristics
Self-Efficacy, Self-Regulation

*Health Promoting Behaviors=HPB, CCC=Community Child Center
METHODS

 Design

- Non-experimental & cross-sectional descriptive study

 Sample

- 68 community child centers located in 25 districts of Seoul (17% of the total)
- 297 fourth to sixth grade elementary school students from low-income families, 297 caregivers, and 68 community child center teachers
- Below poverty: 20.5%, Near poverty: 43.4%, Local governor's approval: 36.0%
## METHODS

### Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables [Research Instrument]</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEPENDENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPB [Kim, Yang, Jeong, &amp; Lee, 2007]</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade/Age/Gender</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight status [Lee et al., 2007]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy [Lee, Schwarzer, &amp; Jerusalem (1994)]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-regulation [Bandy &amp; Moore (2010)]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTRA (Children)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic status, Monthly income, Type of family</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver’s Age/Gender/Education level</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver’s weight status [WHO Western Pacific Region, 2000]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver’s HIB [Developed directly]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer relationship [Lee &amp; Yoo, 1995]</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTER (Caregiver &amp; Peer)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children, Number of teacher, Working period</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterioration grade</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived physical environment of CCC [Developed directly]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health promotion programs for children</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled children/teacher ratio</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPB=Health Promoting Behaviors; HIB=Health Instruction Behaviors; CCC=Community Child Center
METHODS

Data collection procedures

Approval from the Institutional Review Board of Yonsei University

Selection of 70 Community Child Centers using Convenience Sampling

Training of Interviewers using Standard Guidelines

Questionnaire Survey (2013. 8. 13 ~ 2013. 10. 2)
METHODS

Data collection procedures

Every Day, I visited 4-5 centers.

“The Steep Slope”
METHODS

Data collection procedures

Programs for Children

Training of Interviewers
METHODS

Data Analysis

- Descriptive statistics
- Independent t-tests, One-way ANOVA, Pearson correlation coefficients
- Multi-level regression analysis (random-intercept model)

Random-intercept Model

\[ Y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 X_{1ij} + \beta_2 X_{2ij} + \cdots + \beta_k X_{kij} + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad \epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \leftarrow \text{Level 1} \]

\[ \beta_{0j} = r_{00} + r_{01} w_{1j} + r_{02} w_{2j} + \cdots + r_{0l} w_{lj} + u_{0j}, \quad u_{0j} \sim N(0, \tau) \leftarrow \text{Level 2} \]
Intra-Class Correlation (ICC) = 0.147
Trends in Childhood Obesity in United States [2005-2008yr]

(Ogden, Lamb, Carroll, & Flegal, 2010)
Trends in Childhood Obesity in Korea [1998-2009yr]

Commentary

(Unit: %)

(Lee, 2011)
Relationship between Energy Density of Foods & Energy Costs

(Drewnowski, 2009)
Differences in Weekly Hours Watching TV by Household Income

(Drenowatz et al., 2010)
MULTI-LEVEL PREDICTORS OF HPB

- Ecological Model

  The main premise of the model:

  Multi-level intervention is the most effective for behavioral change (Sallis et al., 2009)

- Community-based Participatory Approaches

  - Partnerships among Nursing Research Teams ↔ Community ↔ Government
IMPLICATIONS FOR PEDIATRIC NURSING

- **Pediatric Nursing**
  - The Ultimate Goal
    : To Promote Health or Wellbeing of All Children
  - Meeting the Challenges of the 21st Century
    - Treatment of Disease
      → **Health Promotion & Maintenance**
    - Inpatient Acute Care
      → **Community-based Care**

*(Potts & Mandleco, 2011)*
Thank you!!